

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8

9  
10 LATOYA L. ADAMS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DOMINICK A. GALLEGOS,

14 Defendant.  
15

Case No. CV 23-1656-CBM (AGR)

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND  
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH  
COURT ORDER JS-6**

16 On March 6, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Complaint (Dkt. 1), along with a request  
17 to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. 2). Because Plaintiff requested leave to proceed  
18 in forma pauperis in this action, the Court screened the Complaint to determine  
19 whether the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief  
20 may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from  
21 such relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).


22 On March 9, 2023, the Court denied Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma  
23 pauperis without prejudice and dismissed the Complaint with leave to amend.  
24 (Dkt. 8 (the "March 9, 2023 Order").) The Court allowed Plaintiff thirty (30) days  
25 in which to file an amended complaint and a renewed request to proceed in forma  
26 pauperis. (Id.). The Court warned Plaintiff that a failure to timely file an amended  
27 complaint in conformity with the Court's March 9, 2023 Order may result in  
28 dismissal of the action. (Id.).

1 More than 30 days have now passed, and Plaintiff has not filed an amended  
2 complaint or otherwise prosecuted this case or complied with the Court's March 9,  
3 2023 Order.

4 Accordingly, this action is hereby **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**  
5 for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the Court's March 9,  
6 2023 Order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); see also Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S.  
7 626, 630-31 (1962) ("The authority of a court to dismiss sua sponte [pursuant to  
8 Rule 41(b)] for lack of prosecution has generally been considered an 'inherent  
9 power,' governed not by rule or statute but by the control necessarily vested in  
10 courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious  
11 disposition of cases."); Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642-43 (9th Cir.  
12 2002), cert. denied, 538 U.S. 909 (2003) (court may dismiss action for failure to  
13 follow court order).

14  
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16  
17 Dated: April 24, 2023.

18   
19 HONORABLE CONSUELO B. MARSHALL  
United States District Judge